G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900-1901
191 .
(Mysore)

File No. 129

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT.

Passing orders on the petition of Venkata Row, Jirsi Gumasta in the Sri Chamundeswari Temple at Mysore appealing against the Palace Controller's order dismissing him from the temple service.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

Note submitted for orders

Letter no 985 — 24th February 1901.

One Venkat Rao, Jinsi Gymnastic of the Chamundeswari temple at Mysore, petitioned[a] to this Office, appealing against the order of the Palace Controller, dismissing him from service on the ground of absence without leave. The petition was referred by the Palace Controller for report, who in his Rukkari no 1067 dated - April 1901, states as follows: —

" The said Venkat Rao was granted 3 months' leave in this Office Hukum no 730 dated 17th February 1900. He overstayed the leave and again applied for

" for 6 months. On this application,
the Sarpathagar of the temple was
directed to send the man in
person, because he had gone away
before the leave was sanctioned;
but the Sarpathagar reported (b) that he had not till then joined duty.

(b) Report no 96 dated 1st a

In the meanwhile another
application had been received
from the said Venkat Rao (on
the 29th October 1900) applying
for 3 months' leave again.

The Sarpathagar was directed (c) to issue a notice to the man, informing him that he would forfeit the appointment, if he did not join duty within 15 days,

(c) In order no 462 dated 14th November 1900

(d)

Report No 112 dated 25th November 1900.

(d)

"but he (Sarpatengar) reported that his (Venkat Rao's) whereabouts could not be ascertained. Thereupon a notice was pasted to the door of his house at Mysore giving him 10 days' time.

As the said Venkat Rao absented himself without leave since April 1900, his name was removed from the Burrard".

The chief grounds mentioned in the appeal petition are:—

i that, on account of some private business, he (appellant) was unable to return to duty within the period of 3 months' leave originally granted to him;

ii that he was obliged to apply

(9)

apply for the extension of leave,

because he fell ill;

iii that he did not get any reply,

though he submitted 2 applications

by Registered Post; nor did he

receive the notice either from the

one;

and iv that he has put in 23 years'

service

His pay was Rs. 7-4-0
per mensem.
6/5/01

6/5/01

Sd
1/5/01

Sd to the Person
6/5/01

Rec'd
18/5/07
650 Encs

The punishment
of removal from
office is undoubtedly
too hard - three
months from the
date of the order
the said man may
be removed & the
man restored.

5. VI. 07

6/6/01.

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
RECEIVED
Date
REG. No. 1264
MUZRAI BRANCH

Rec'd
29/4/07

28/2/01

OF THE U.N.V.N. ...
RECEIVED
Date .....
In. No. 985
MU R I BRANCH

Indemnity ...

Dated 30-1-1901.

Yours faithfully,

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ನಾವು ಪರಿಶಿಷ್ಟ ಜಾತಿಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ ಲಂಬಾಣಿ ಸಮುದಾಯಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿದವರಾಗಿದ್ದು

ನಮ್ಮ ಮಕ್ಕಳು ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಪ್ರತಿದಿನ ಸುಮಾರು ೩ ಕಿ.ಮೀ ದೂರ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ನಮ್ಮ ಮಕ್ಕಳಿಗೆ ಶಾಲೆಗೆ ಹೋಗಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವಂತೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಬಸ್ಸಿನ ವ್ಯವಸ್ಥೆ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರುತ್ತೇವೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿಗಳು

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ೨೫೯

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಇ ಅಂಚೆ ಕಚೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ಅಂಚೆ ಚೀಟಿಗಳ ಮೌಲ್ಯವು

ಕಾಲಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ

ಕಾಲಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ

ಕಾಲಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಪಿಡಿ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಯ ಪುಸ್ತಕವನ್ನು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿದಾಗ ಕಂಡುಬಂದ ಅಂಶಗಳು

೧ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಅಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಬರೆಯಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವಿದೆ -?

೨ ನಡವಳಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಸಹಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವವರು -?

೩ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಗೈರು ಹಾಜರಾದವರು - ಯಾರು ಯಾರಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ವಿವರ ?

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಲಾದ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ವಿವರ ——

ಪಿ ಡಿ ಒ

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಸಹಿ

೮

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਕਾਲ ਤਖਤ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ

ਪ੍ਰਧਾਨ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਨਾਮ ਚਿੱਠੀ

ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਕਾਲ ਤਖਤ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਤੋਂ ਜਾਰੀ ਹੁਕਮਨਾਮੇ ਦੀ ਰੌਸ਼ਨੀ ਵਿਚ ਸਾਰੀ ਸੰਗਤ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਸਾਡੇ ਪਿੰਡ ਦੇ ਗੁਰਦੁਆਰਾ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧਕ ਕਮੇਟੀ ਦੀ ਚੋਣ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੫ ਮਾਰਚ ੨੦੨੫ ਨੂੰ ਹੋਣੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਚੋਣ ਵਿਚ ਹਰ ਉਹ ਸਿੱਖ ਵੋਟ ਪਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਦੀ ਉਮਰ ੧੮ ਸਾਲ ਤੋਂ ਵੱਧ ਹੋਵੇ ਅਤੇ ਜੋ ਪਿਛਲੇ ਛੇ ਮਹੀਨਿਆਂ ਤੋਂ ਪਿੰਡ ਦਾ ਵਸਨੀਕ ਹੋਵੇ। ਵੋਟਰ ਸੂਚੀ ਗੁਰਦੁਆਰਾ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਦੇ ਨੋਟਿਸ ਬੋਰਡ ਉੱਤੇ ਲਗਾਈ ਜਾ ਚੁੱਕੀ ਹੈ।

ਸੁਖਵਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਗਰੇਵਾਲ
ਸਕੱਤਰ, ਗੁਰਦੁਆਰਾ ਸਾਹਿਬ ਕਮੇਟੀ, ਪਿੰਡ ਮਹਿਰਾਜ

Mysore

Read:—

Petition No. 985, dated 24th February 1901, from ~~one~~ Venkata Rao, Jinsi. Gumastah in the Sri Chamundeswari temple at Mysore, appealing against the Order of the Palace Controller dismissing him from the temple service on the ground of his absence ~~for~~ without leave.

And,

Rubkari No. 1067, dated April 1901, from the Palace Controller, Mysore, reporting on the above.

No 1348 / Mun. 7. 17.97
Dt 17th June 1901.

Order thereon

In the Rubkari read above, the Palace Controller reports that the Appellant Venkata Rao was granted 3 months' leave with effect from 1st February 1900, that

on the 23rd April 1900, the appellant applied for an extension of 6 months; that the Palace Controller thereupon directed the Parpattegar of the temple to send up to him the applicant — who was then absent from the station —; that in the meanwhile, the appellant submitted another application praying for a further extension of 3 months; that the Palace Controller thereupon directed the Parpattegar to issue a notice to the appellant informing him that he would be liable to forfeit his appointment if he did not join duty within a period of 15 days from the date of the notice; that on the Parpattegar reporting that the whereabouts of the Appellant could not be ascertained for the notice to be served on him,

he (the Palace Controller) directed that the same be posted on the door of the Appellant's residence at Mysore, and that as the latter failed to turn up within the period of 10 days prescribed in the ~~same~~ notice, he (the Palace Controller) directed ~~his~~ ~~the~~ his name to be removed from the temple Basawand.

2. The Appellant, on the other hand, urges in his appeal petition that he obtained the original leave with the express object of celebrating the marriage of his daughter which took him away from Mysore, that as he could not return to duty owing to his severe illness, ~~and therefore applied for the extensions of the leave,~~ he submitted 2 applications by registered post, praying for the extensions of the leave, that he did not receive the notice ~~he did not receive the notice~~ issued by the Palace authority, and prays that, as he has

already put in 22 years' service, the Govt may be pleased to re-instate him in his ~~previous~~ appointment.

3. Taking ~~together~~ all the circs of the present case into consideration, the Govt ~~are constrained to~~ observe that the punishment ~~meted~~ ~~out to the Appellant Venkatarao~~ by the D.C. of removal from his ~~appointment~~ appointment is undeservingly too heavy; and they are therefore pleased to direct that after the expiry of the 3 months from the date of this order, the acting man be removed and the appellant restored to his appointment.

Dewan's Order

15/6/01

To Js

Dy Secretary

The Palace Controller, Mysore-